P.R. No. 4130/06
Presented by Shri Sharad Shukla
dated 31/12/06

SINGLE BENCH 4

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, (C.G.)

W.P. No. 4063 /2006

PETITIONER:

Radheshyam Bhuarya
Aged about 42 years
S/o Sri Ram Singh Bhuarya
At Present working as Tahsildar
R/o Indira Colony, Dallirajhara,
District – Durg (Chhatisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through: The Secretary
Department of Revenue,
Mantralaya, DKS Bhawan, Raipur
(C.G.)

2. The Collector
District South Bastar
Dantewada (C.G.)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS:-

28

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...WP. 4063/06... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25.8.2006

Mr. Jitendra Pali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Miss Deepali Pandey, P.L. for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

By this petition the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 12.7.2006 (Annexure P-7) whereby he has been transferred from Sukma to Konta.

Vide order dated 8.6.2004 (Annexure P-4) issued by the State Government the petitioner has been transferred from Antagarh to Sukma and now he has been transferred by the Collector vide order Annexure P-7 from Sukma to Antagarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred at the place where no post is vacant, as one Tehsildar is already working there. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and in turn, respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 within 10 days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.2 to decide the representation of petitioner within 30 days from the date of receipt of representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

In the meantime, the petitioner should not be relieved, if he has not already been relieved, till the decision of the representation.

With the direction aforesaid, the petitions stand disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3159/06 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan/-

P.T.O.